

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report  
For CDRU # 5866 Case file # 95-230469.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

## INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 10:15 AM (Time), 10/23/02 (Date)

File #: 95-230469

Laboratory #(s): 90424020

### Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	X	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Not available, guilty plea

Testimony Date(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Pages: \_\_\_\_\_

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number:	<u>90424020</u>	Date:	<u>Aug. 2, 1979</u>
Laboratory Number:	_____	Date:	_____
Laboratory Number:	_____	Date:	_____

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ

Laboratory Number: 90424020

Was any other material reviewed? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: submitting agency letter dated April 13, 1979

### Results of Review

File #: 95-230469 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q7, K1-K5

#### Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?  
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?  
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

#### Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☒ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SMH

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.  
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-230469


#1. It cannot be determined from the notes if the tests were performed in a scientifically acceptable manner.

#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated, are in pencil instead of ink  
and use abbreviations difficult to interpret.

Review completed at: 10:30 AM (Time), 10/23/02 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.25 hrs.

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review  
are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

  
(Signature)

Oct. 23, 2002  
(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: SHH